

ISE



PV MODULE LIFE TIME FORECAST AND EVALUATION

Correlation of climatic degradation factors as a basis for typical load definition

Amantin-Panos Mehilli, Karl-Anders Weiss Fraunhofer ISE, Heidenhofstraße 2, 79110 Freiburg im Breisgau, Germany

MOTIVATION

- The climatic factors below are contributing significantly in PV degradation.
- **(G)** Global irradiation
- **(UV)** UV irradiation
- **(Tamb)** Ambient temperature
- **(TC)** Temperature cycles
- **(RH)** Relative humidity
- (WS) Wind speed
- **Soiling**: dust, sand and organic matter.
- Salt

The objective is to correlate these factors between each-other. This way, often non measured factors like UV can be calculated based on other factors.





FOUR DIFFERENT CLIMATIC LOCATIONS

- I. Gran Canarias (GC Maritime)
- 2. Zugspitze (UFS Alpine)
- 3. Negev (**NEG** Desert)
- 4. Freiburg (**Freib** Moderate)

Climatic conditions in these locations vary significantly, thus they were chosen. Minute measurements are conducted of the climatic factors of interest G, RH, Tamb, UV, Ws. This location diversity can provide useful insights about these factors' impacts.



PV sites locations

Tamb DISTRIBUTION AND UV VALUES







Hourly average values taken through one year. G (0-1000 [W/m²]) and RH (30 – 90 [%]) values per hour are in an inversely proportional relationship.

 $f G \rightarrow f Tamb \rightarrow RH$

RH in the desert is dropping significantly during midday hours in comparison to alpine and maritime where the RH drop is smaller.

CLIMATIC SUB-ZONES (next steps)

a) Combined frequency distributions of climatic factors by two (UV-Tamb, RH-Tamb) b) Splitting each distribution in 3 sections based on frequency. (0 - 25 - 75 - 100) %





are in each climate.

-12,23 -15

ULTRA VIOLET / GLOBAL IRRADIATION RATIO



Site	Average G	Average UV	Average UV/G
GC	258.40	11.52	4.46%
UFS	190.15	9.39	4.93%
NEG	274.15	10.99	4.01%
Freib	153.13	6.80	4.31%

Ultra Violet (UV) irradiation is a small part of the Global irradiation (G). As a rule of thumb UV/G

ratio is around 5%.

UV DOSAGE FOR DIFFERENT Tamb



•		U		
NEGEV	All Tamb	Tamb>10	Tamb>20	Tamb>30
	Total UV (kWh/m2)	Sum UV	Sum UV	Sum UV
2012	99,2	100%	87%	37%
2013	99,7	98%	73%	21%
2014	96,7	99%	74%	20%
2015	92,5	98%	71%	26%
2016	93,2	98%	75%	27%
Average	96,3	99%	85%	26%

b) Jointplot of UV - Tamb for NEG_2013 (split in sub-sections)

Each sub-zone:

- Has a different significance/ weight to the PV modules degradation.

- Determines how much time/ the modules spend under those conditions.

Assuming high T is more critical than high UV 1. Very critical (high T, high UV/RH) 2. Critical (high T, medium UV) 3. Less critical (medium T, high UV/RH) 4. Non critical (medium T, medium UV)

RERERENCES

M. Köntges et. al, "IEA PVPS - Assessment of Photovoltaic Module Failures" in the Field, (2017)









COMMUNICATION



Amantin – Panos Mehilli

Country of origin: Albania/ Greece

Background: Physics degree in UoA, Greece

MSc in Sustainable Energy Systems, Austria







linkedin.com/in/amantin-panos-mehilli-aba08089

This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Sklodowska-Curie grant agreement No 721452.