





## **PV MODULE LIFE TIME FORECAST AND EVALUATION**

# **Evaluation of EVA-glass interface in a PV module-like laminate after** accelerated aging: the impact of backsheet selectivity

D. E. Mansour<sup>1</sup>, C. Barretta<sup>2</sup>, P. Christoefl<sup>2</sup>, G. Oreski<sup>2</sup>, D. Philipp<sup>1</sup>, L. Pitta Bauermann<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Fraunhofer Institute for Solar Energy Systems ISE, Heidenhofstr. 2, 79110 Freiburg, Germany, Phone +49 761/4588-5880, djamel.eddine.mansour@ise.fraunhofer.de <sup>2</sup> Polymer Competence Center Leoben, Roseggerstr. 12A, 8700 Leoben, Austria

#### MOTIVATION

- Effect of backsheet (BS) on aging of encapsulant
- Understand the influence of the polymeric interactions on their chemical and physical aging
- Better definition of the critical parameters leading to degradation to model PV module lifetime

# **EXPERIMENTAL PART**

Lamination and accelerated aging:

- Glass/EVA/BS laminates were produced (Fig. 1) using two different BSs:
  - ---- PET-based backsheet
  - ---- PA-based backsheet
- Accelerated aging (Fig. 2 and 3):

DH (85°C/85% RH) and UV/DH combined (~ 160 W/m<sup>2</sup>, 60 °C/ 85% RH)







Fig. 3. Climate chamber

40000

35000

- 20000

- 5000

# **CHEMICAL CHARACTERIZATION**

#### FT-IR ATR Spectroscopy analysis on the EVA surface (glass/EVA interface)



- By using PET-based BS, no noticeable new peak appear after DH aging
- By using PA-based BS, a sharpening of the C=O vibrations at **1642 cm<sup>-1</sup>** after the first DH interval test (500h)

### **MECHANICAL CHARACTERIZATION**

Fig. 1. Vacuum laminator Fig. 2. Scheme of the side-view of the laminate with the aging

#### **Characterization:**

- EVA-BS films were peeled out from the glass (Fig. 4)
- The films were tested at the glass-EVA interface using:
  - Ultra Nanoindentation Tester  $(UNHT^3)$
  - FT-IR ATR spectroscopy



#### Nanoindentation of the EVA surface (glass/EVA interface):

- Indenter shape tip: Spherical with 0,1 mm of radius and 90° of angle
- 9 indents per EVA surface were performed
- 200 µm of distance between each indent in x- and y-axes



Fig. 7. Optical microscopic image of an aged and indented EVA surface.

#### Model of instrument coupled with viscoelastic sample [1]:

Oscillatory indentations with small amplitudes of 4 mN and a specific frequency of 5 Hz were performed (Fig. 5) The viscoelastic response: storage (E') and loss (E'')

Sinus Mode 50 -40 - Constant Strain Rate Loading Pause ad [mN]

#### Viscoelastic change of the EVA surface (glass/EVA interface):

At short UV doses (~ 180 kWh/m<sup>2</sup>), both EVA surfaces showed similar viscoelastic 30000 m 25000 tu behavior (Fig. 8) behavior is shown between EVA At higher UV doses different Displac surfaces **The change** *tan* $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ , by using PAbased BS is more pronounced A strong discoloration of the EVA is observed after UV/DH combined test by using PETbased BS





Fig. 8. Damping factor (calculated from the sinus part of the dynamic curve) after UV/DH combined aging.

#### [1] Herbert, E., Oliver, W. and Pharr, G. (2008). Nanoindentation and the dynamic characterization of viscoelastic solids. Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics, 41(7) 074021, pp. 1-9

Fig. 5.Dynamic Load-displacement-time curve on the EVA surface obtained using a frequency sweep during the hold

The use of different material combinations leads to different degradation mechanisms of the encapsulant.



CONCLUSION





This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Sklodowska-Curie grant agreement No 721452.